

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/995,081	Applicant(s) STEKLENSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Steven S. Paik	Art Unit 2876	

All Participants:

(1) Steven S. Paik.

(2) Kathleen Neuner Manne, Reg. No. 40,101.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2004

Time: 9:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

12, 25

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Re claim 12, the applicant's representative agreed to replace the phrase " long periods of time" in line 2 of claim 12, with -- at least 3 hours --.

Re claim 25, the applicant's representative agreed to delete the word, "uncovered/" in line 2 of claim 25. The Examiner's Amendment is authorized by the applicant's representative..